arXiv:math/0602055v2 [math.RT] 3 Apr 2006

A CENTRAL ELEMENT IN THE UNIVERSAL ENVELOPING ALGEBRA OF TYPE D_n VIA MINOR SUMMATION FORMULA OF PFAFFIANS

TAKASHI HASHIMOTO

Abstract. It is known that the universal enveloping algebra $U({}_{2n})$ of the orthogonal Lie algebra ${}_{2n}$ of type D_n has a central element described in terms of Pfaffian of a certain matrix which is alternating along the anti-diagonal (we call such a matrix *anti-alternating* for short) whose entries are in $U({}_{2n})$. In this paper, we establish minor summation formulae of Pfaffian for the noncommutative anti-alternating matrix, as well as for commutative anti-alternating matrix. As an application, we show that the eigenvalues of the Pfaffian-type central element on the irreducible representations of ${}_{2n}$ can be easily computed.

1. Introduction

Let us denote by ${}_{2n}$ the complex orthogonal Lie algebra defined by

$$ {}_{2n} = \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}); {}^{t}X J_{2n} + J_{2n} X = O\}, $$

where J_{2n} is the nondegenerate symmetric matrix with 1's on the anti-diagonal and 0's elsewhere. Then a matrix X is in ${}_{2n}$ if and only if it is alternating along the anti-diagonal, which we call *anti-alternating* for short in this paper. We remark that the subspaces of ${}_{2n}$ consisting of the diagonal matrices and of the upper triangular matrices are Cartan subalgebra and nilpotent subalgebra spanned by positive root vectors, which we denote by $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$, respectively.

It is known that the center $ZU({}_{2n})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U({}_{2n})$ of the Lie algebra ${}_{2n}$ is generated by elements that are described in terms of column (minor) determinants and Pfaffian of certain matrices $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\Phi_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,2n}$ whose diagonal and upper triangular entries are in $U(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathfrak{n}$, respectively (see below for the Pfaffian type; one must shift diagonal entries for the determinant type.) Here, for a matrix $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\Phi_{i,j})_{i,j}$ with $\Phi_{i,j}$ in a noncommutative associative algebra in general, the column determinant of $\mathbf{\Phi}$, which we denote by $\det(\mathbf{\Phi})$, is defined to be

$$ \det(\mathbf{\Phi}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \mathrm{sgn}\,(\sigma) \Phi_{\sigma(1),1} \Phi_{\sigma(2),2} \cdots \Phi_{\sigma(2n),2n}. \tag{1.1} $$

Minor column determinants are defined similarly. If, moreover, $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is anti-alternating, by which we mean that $\mathbf{\Phi} J_{2n}$ is alternating as above, the Pfaffian of $\mathbf{\Phi} J_{2n}$, which

---

Date: February 2, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B35, 15A15.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal Lie algebra, center of universal enveloping algebra, minor summation formula of Pfaffian.

we denote by $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{\Phi})$ simply, is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \mathrm{sgn}\,(\sigma)\, \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)} \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma(3),\sigma(4)} \cdots \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma(2n-1),\sigma(2n)},$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{i,j}$ denotes the (i,j)th entry of $\boldsymbol{\Phi} J_{2n}$.

It is easy to compute the eigenvalues of the central elements of determinant type on the irreducible representations of ${}_{2n}$ with highest weight λ; if we apply the $\det(\boldsymbol{\Phi})$ to the highest vector, the only term that survives in the sum (1.1) is the one that corresponds to $\sigma = 1$ since $\Phi_{i,j}$ is in $\mathfrak{n}$ if $i < j$ as we remarked above (cf. [Ito05]).

The same principle will not work for the Pfaffian-type element.

Let us first consider the commutative case. Write an anti-alternating matrix $X \in {}_{2n}$ as

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -J_n {}^t\!a J_n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

with a, b, c all $n \times n$ matrices such that b, c are anti-alternating. Then we find that the Pfaffian of XJ_{2n}, which we denote by $\mathrm{Pf}\,(X)$ simply as above, is expanded as follows (Corollary 3.4):

$$\mathrm{Pf}\,(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{I,J \subset [n] \\ |I|=|J|=2k}} \mathrm{sgn}\,\big(\bar{I}, I\big)\, \mathrm{sgn}\,\big(\bar{J}, J\big) \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}})\, \mathrm{Pf}\,(b_I)\, \mathrm{Pf}\,(c_J) \tag{1.3}$$

with the second sum over all index sets I and J, both of cardinality k and contained in $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, where $\bar{I}$ and $\bar{J}$ denote the complements of I and J in $[n]$ respectively, $a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}$ submatrix of a whose row and column indices are in $\bar{I}$ and $\bar{J}$ respectively, and b_I, c_I submatrices of b, c whose row and column indices are both in I (see Section 3 for details.)

Furthermore, the minor summation formula of Pfaffian (1.3) holds true for a rectangular submatrix a. More precisely, for positive integers p, q with $p + q = 2n$, the formula holds true if we write an anti-alternating matrix $X \in {}_{2n}$ as in (1.2), but in this case, with a, b, c of size $p \times q, p \times p, q \times q$, respectively, and $(2,2)$-block replaced by $-J_q {}^t\!a J_p$ (Theorem 3.2). It is immediate to see that the minor summation formula given in [IW06, Theorem 3.5] corresponds to ours with p and q both even.

Now let us return to the noncommutative case. Let $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_{i,j})$ be an anti-alternating matrix whose (i,j)th entry is given by $X_{i,j} := E_{i,j} - J_{2n} E_{j,i} J_{2n} \in {}_{2n} \subset U({}_{2n})$, where $E_{i,j}$ is the matrix unit with 1 in (i,j)th entry and 0 elsewhere. Then we find that the following expansion formula of the noncommutative Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})$ holds true, which is our main result (Theorem 4.7) in this paper:

Theorem. *If we color* $\boldsymbol{X}$ *as in* (1.2)*, then the Pfaffian* $\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X})$ *is expanded as follows:*

$$\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{I,J \subset [n] \\ |I|=|J|=2k}} \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{I}, I) \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{J}, J) \det\Big(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}} + \mathbb{1}^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}\, \boldsymbol{\rho}(|\bar{J}|)\Big) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I),$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ *denotes the* $n \times n$*-identity matrix, and* $\boldsymbol{\rho}(j) = \operatorname{diag}(j-1, j-2, \cdots, 0)$.

Using the formula, one can easily compute the eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X})$ on the irreducible representations of ${}_{2n}$ as in the case of the determinant-type elements described above, since $b_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{n}$ for all i, j.

Example. If $n = 2$, then a matrix $X \in {}_{2n}$ colored as in (1.2) looks like

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & b_{1,2} & 0 \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & 0 & -b_{1,2} \\ c_{1,2} & 0 & -a_{2,2} & -a_{1,2} \\ 0 & -c_{1,2} & -a_{2,1} & -a_{1,1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.4}$$

and the Pfaffian $\operatorname{Pf}(X)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Pf}(X) = a_{1,1}a_{2,2} - a_{2,1}a_{1,2} + c_{1,2}b_{1,2}, \tag{1.5}$$

of which the first and the second terms corresponds to $I = J = \varnothing$ and the last one to $I = J = \{1, 2\}$ in the right-hand side of (1.3), respectively.

Passing to the noncommutative case, let us write the matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$ as in (1.4). Noting that symmetrization of the right-hand side of (1.5) yields $\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X})$ by definition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X}) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(a_{1,1}a_{2,2} - a_{2,1}a_{1,2} + c_{1,2}b_{1,2} + a_{2,2}a_{1,1} - a_{1,2}a_{2,1} + b_{1,2}c_{1,2}\Big) \\ &= (a_{1,1} + 1)\, a_{2,2} - a_{2,1}a_{1,2} + c_{1,2}b_{1,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the commutation relations (4.8) below.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sect.2, for the sake of completeness, we include the proof for the fact that one can construct a Pfaffian-type central element of the universal enveloping algebra of the orthogonal Lie algebra in an *arbitrary* realization. Namely, one may take an arbitrary nondegenerate symmetric matrix S of size $2n \times 2n$ in stead of J_{2n} in the definition of ${}_{2n}$ above. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the commutative minor summation formula of the Pfaffian for $X \in {}_{2n}$ colored as in (1.2) with a rectangular submatrix of size $p \times q$. We give its proof by induction on $p + q$, the size of X, since it reveals that iteration of the row/column expansion formula of the Pfaffian yields our formula. In Sect.4, we prove the theorem, i.e., the noncommutative minor summation formula of the Pfaffian $\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X})$ for the matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$, and show that the eigenvalues of the central element $\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X})$ on the highest weight modules can be easily computed. Finally, in the Appendix we will give another proof of the commutative minor summation formula using the exterior calculus.

Convention. For a positive integer n, let us denote by $[n]$ the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $[-n]$ the set $\{-n, \dots, -2, -1\}$, and $[\pm n]$ the union $[n] \cup [-n]$. For a pair of index sets $I \subset J$, its complement $\bar{I}$ is always taken in J, unless otherwise mentioned. The symbol $\sqcup$ denotes the disjoint union. For index sets $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\}$, $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_s\}$ and $K = \{k_1 < \cdots < k_{r+s}\}$ such that $K = I \sqcup J$, let us denote by $\operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} K \\ I, J \end{pmatrix}$ the signature of the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 & \dots & k_r & k_{r+1} & \dots & k_{r+s} \\ i_1 & \dots & i_r & j_1 & \dots & j_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

When dealing with the Pfaffian of an anti-alternating matrix of size $2n$, it is convenient to use the signed index. Namely, for any index $i \in [2n]$, we shall agree that $-i$ stands for $2n+1-i$. Finally, for a real number x, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x.

2. Noncommutative Pfaffian

Let $\boldsymbol{A} = (A_{i,j})_{i,j \in [2n]}$, $A_{j,i} = -A_{i,j}$, be an alternating matrix of size $2n \times 2n$ whose entries are elements of an associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$. Then the Pfaffian of $\boldsymbol{A}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{A})$, is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{A}) &= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, A_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)} A_{\sigma(3),\sigma(4)} \cdots A_{\sigma(2n-1),\sigma(2n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n} \\ \sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i)}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, A_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)} A_{\sigma(3),\sigma(4)} \cdots A_{\sigma(2n-1),\sigma(2n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

If the algebra $\mathscr{A}$ is commutative, the above definition reduces to

$$\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, A_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)} A_{\sigma(3),\sigma(4)} \cdots A_{\sigma(2n-1),\sigma(2n)},$$

where the sum is taken over those σ satisfying

$$\sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } \sigma(1) < \sigma(3) < \cdots < \sigma(2n-1).$$

For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, one can show that

$$\operatorname{Pf}\left(g \boldsymbol{A}\, {}^t\! g\right) = \det g \; \operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{A}) \tag{2.2}$$

even if $\mathscr{A}$ is noncommutative, as well as if $\mathscr{A}$ is commutative (see [IU01].)

Let S be a nondegenerate symmetric matrix of size $2n \times 2n$. We define the orthogonal Lie group $(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S)$ and its Lie algebra $(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S) &:= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}); {}^t\! g S g = S\}, \\ (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S) &:= \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}); {}^t\! X S + S X = O\}. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this section, we set $G := (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S)$ and $\mathfrak{g} := (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S)$ for brevity. Then one can construct an element belonging to the center $ZU(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of Pfaffian as follows. Set $X_{i,j} := E_{i,j} - S^{-1}\,{}^{t}E_{i,j}S$. Clearly, $X_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{g} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$. Define a matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$ with entries in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\boldsymbol{X} := (X_{i,j})_{i,j\in[2n]} = \sum_{i,j\in[2n]} E_{i,j} \otimes X_{i,j} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Lemma 2.1. *The matrix* $\boldsymbol{X}S$ *is alternating. Namely,*

$$\boldsymbol{X}S + S\,{}^{t}\boldsymbol{X} = O.$$

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation, and is left to the reader. □ □

Thus one can define a Pfaffian of the alternating matrix $\boldsymbol{X}S$, which we denote by $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})$ simply, when there is no danger of confusion.

Following [IU01], let us write

$$\mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{Y}) := (\mathrm{Ad}(g)Y_{i,j})_{i,j\in[2n]} = \sum_{i,j} E_{i,j} \otimes \mathrm{Ad}(g)Y_{i,j}$$

for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ and a matrix $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_{i,j})_{i,j} = \sum_{i,j} E_{i,j} \otimes Y_{i,j} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For* $g \in G$*, we have*

$$\mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{X}) = {}^{t}g\boldsymbol{X}\,{}^{t}g^{-1}.$$

Proof. Setting $g = (g_{ab})$ and $g^{-1} = (g^{ab})$, one has

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g)E_{i,j} = \sum_{a,b,c,d} g_{ab}g^{cd}E_{a,b}E_{i,j}E_{c,d} = \sum_{a,b} g_{ai}g^{jb}E_{a,b}.$$

Similarly, setting $S = (s_{ab})$ and $S^{-1} = (s^{ab})$, one has

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g)\left(S^{-1}\,{}^{t}E_{i,j}S\right) = \sum_{a,b,k,l} s_{il}g^{lb}g_{ak}s^{kj}E_{a,b}.$$

Therefore, denoting by $\boldsymbol{E}$ the matrix whose (i,j)th entry is $E_{i,j}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{X}) &= {}^{t}g\boldsymbol{E}\,{}^{t}g^{-1} - Sg^{-1}\,{}^{t}\boldsymbol{E}gS^{-1} \\ &= {}^{t}g\left(\boldsymbol{E} - S\,{}^{t}\boldsymbol{E}S^{-1}\right){}^{t}g^{-1} \\ &= {}^{t}g\boldsymbol{X}\,{}^{t}g^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

since $(S^{-1}\,{}^{t}E_{i,j}S)_{i,j} = S\,{}^{t}\boldsymbol{E}S^{-1}$ and $g \in G$. □ □

Proposition 2.3. $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})$ *belongs to the center* $ZU(\mathfrak{g})$ *of the universal enveloping algebra* $U(\mathfrak{g})$*. More precisely, it satisfies*

$$g\,\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})\,g^{-1} = \det g\,\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $g \in G$.

Proof. First we note that $\mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{X}S) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{X})S$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{X}S) &= \mathrm{Ad}_g\left(\sum E_{i,j} \otimes X_{i,j} \cdot \sum E_{k,l} \otimes s_{kl}\right) \\ &= \sum E_{i,j} \otimes \mathrm{Ad}(g)X_{i,j} \cdot \sum E_{k,l} \otimes s_{kl} \\ &= \mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{X})S,\end{aligned}$$

whence $\mathrm{Ad}_g(\boldsymbol{X}S) = {}^t g \boldsymbol{X}\, {}^t g^{-1} S$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, setting $\boldsymbol{X}S =: \sum E_{i,j} \otimes \tilde{X}_{i,j}$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} g \,\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})\, g^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \mathrm{Ad}(g)\tilde{X}_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)} \cdots \mathrm{Ad}(g)\tilde{X}_{\sigma(2n-1),\sigma(2n)} \\ &= \mathrm{Pf}\,\big({}^t g \boldsymbol{X}\, {}^t g^{-1} S\big) = \mathrm{Pf}\,\big({}^t g \boldsymbol{X} S g\big) \\ &= \det g \,\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})\,, \end{aligned}$$

since $g \in G$. □ □

3. COMMUTATIVE MINOR SUMMATION FORMULA

For a positive integer N, let us denote by J_N the $N \times N$ matrix with 1's on the anti-diagonal and 0's elsewhere:

$$J_N := \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Take J_{2n} as the nondegenerate symmetric matrix S in Section 2, and denote the orthogonal group $(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, J_{2n})$ and its Lie algebra $(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S)$ by $_{2n}$ and $_{2n}$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{2n} &:= \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}); {}^t g J_{2n} g = J_{2n}\}, \\ {}_{2n} &:= \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}); {}^t X J_{2n} + J_{2n} X = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that a matrix X is in $_{2n}$ if and only if it is alternating along the anti-diagonal, which we call *anti-alternating* for short, so that one can define the Pfaffian of XJ, which we denote by $\mathrm{Pf}\,(X)$ simply, as in the previous sections.

Remark 3.1. In general, for a symmetric matrix S, X is in $(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, S)$ if and only if XS^{-1} is alternating. Note that $J_{2n} = J_{2n}^{-1}$ in our case of anti-alternating matrices.

For positive integers p, q with $p + q = 2n$, we write a matrix $X \in {}_{2n}$ as

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -J_q\, {}^t a J_p \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

where a, b, c are matrices of size $p \times q, p \times p, q \times q$ respectively, such that b, c are anti-alternating, and then parameterize a, b, c as

$$a = \sum_{i \in [p], j \in [q]} a_{i,j} E_{i,j}, \quad b = \sum_{i,j \in [p]} b_{i,j} E_{i,-j}, \quad c = \sum_{i,j \in [q]} c_{i,j} E_{-j,i} \tag{3.2}$$

where $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}, c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $b_{j,i} = -b_{i,j}$ and $c_{j,i} = -c_{i,j}$. Here $E_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix unit taking the basis vector e_j to e_i, where $\{e_i\}_{i \in [\pm n]}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Now we define their submatrices by

$$a^I_J := (a_{i,j})_{i \in I, j \in J}, \quad b_I := (b_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}, \quad c_J := (c_{i,j})_{i,j \in J}$$

for $I \subset [p], J \subset [q]$. Note that b_I and c_J are still anti-alternating.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $r = \min(p, q)$ *and* ϵ *the parity of* p*, i.e., is equal to* 0 *or* 1 *according as* p *is even or odd. If* $X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -J_q\,{}^t\!a J_p \end{bmatrix}$ *is a matrix in* $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ *with* a, b, c *parameterized as in* (3.2)*, then the Pfaffian* $\operatorname{Pf}(X)$ *is expanded as follows:*

$$\operatorname{Pf}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{I \subset [p], J \subset [q] \\ |\bar{I}| = |\bar{J}| = 2k+\epsilon}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\bar{I}, I\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(\bar{J}, J\right) \det(a_{\bar{J}}^{\bar{I}}) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\bar{I}, I\right) = \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \ldots p \\ \bar{I}, I \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\bar{J}, J\right) = \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \ldots q \\ \bar{J}, J \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 3.3. For an alternating matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in [2n]}$ and an indices $I \subset [2n]$, let us denote by A_I the alternating submatrix consisting of $(a_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$, i.e., of entries whose row and column indices are both in I. For $i, j \in [2n]$, the (i, j)th cofactor Pfaffian $\gamma_{i,j}(A)$ is then defined to be

$$\gamma_{i,j}(A) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i+j-1} \operatorname{Pf}\left(A_{[1..\widehat{i}..\widehat{j}..2n]}\right) & \text{if } i < j, \\ \quad 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ (-1)^{i+j} \operatorname{Pf}\left(A_{[1..\widehat{j}..\widehat{i}..2n]}\right) & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases}$$

where $\widehat{i}$ means omitting i. Then, as in the case of determinant, the following expansion formula holds:

$$\delta_{i,j} \operatorname{Pf}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a_{i,k}\, \gamma_{j,k}(A) \tag{3.4}$$

(see [Hir92].)

The co-Pfaffian matrix of A is, by definition, an alternating matrix whose (i, j)th entries are given by $\gamma_{i,j}(A)$ for $i, j \in [2n]$, which we denote by $\widehat{A}$. Then one can verify that

$$\frac{\operatorname{Pf}(A_I)}{\operatorname{Pf}(A)} = \operatorname{sgn}\left(I, \bar{I}\right) \operatorname{Pf}\left((\widehat{A}/\operatorname{Pf}(A))_{\bar{I}}\right) \tag{3.5}$$

if A is invertible. Note that (3.5) makes sense only if $|I|$ is even. Using the relation (3.5), it is immediate to see that the minor summation formula given in [IW06, Theorem 3.5] coincides with ours (3.3) with p and q both even.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Here we will give the proof using induction on $p + q$, since it reveals that iteration of the co-Pfaffian expansion of the Pfaffian (3.4) yields our minor summation formula.

It suffices to prove when $p \leqslant q$. The case where $p = 0, q = 1$ is trivial. Now expanding $\operatorname{Pf}(X)$ of the matrix X given by (3.1) and (3.2) with respect to the first row, one obtains

$$\operatorname{Pf}(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} a_{1,j} \gamma_{1,-j} + \sum_{j=2}^{p} b_{1,j} \gamma_{1,j}, \tag{3.6}$$

where we put $\gamma_{i,j} = \gamma_{i,j}(XJ_{2n})$ for brevity. Note that $\gamma_{i,j}$ is given by, up to the sign, the Pfaffian of the submatrix obtained by deleting the ith and jth rows, and $-i$th and $-j$th columns from X, which is anti-alternating.

By inductive hypothesis, one obtains that

$$\gamma_{i,-j} = (-)^{1+j} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{I \subset [2...p] \\ J \subset [1..\widehat{j}..q] \\ |\bar{I}|=|\bar{J}|=2k+\epsilon_1}} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 2...p \\ I, \bar{I} \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1..\widehat{j}..q \\ J, \bar{J} \end{pmatrix} \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\gamma_{i,j} = (-)^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{I \subset [2..\widehat{j}..p] \\ J \subset [q] \\ |\bar{I}|=|\bar{J}|=2k+\epsilon}} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 2..\widehat{j}..p \\ I, \bar{I} \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1...q \\ J, \bar{J} \end{pmatrix} \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J), \tag{3.8}$$

where ϵ_1 is the parity of $p-1$, i.e., $\epsilon_1 = 1 - \epsilon$.

In the right-hand side of (3.6), we denote the term of degree $2k+\epsilon$ in the variables $a_{i,j}$ by T_k $(k = 0, 1, \dots, r)$. Then it follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} T_k = &\sum_{j=1}^{q} (-)^{1+j} \sum_{\substack{I \subset [2...p] \\ J \subset [1..\widehat{j}..q] \\ |\bar{I}|=|\bar{J}|=2k-\epsilon_1}} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 2...p \\ I, \bar{I} \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1..\widehat{j}..q \\ J, \bar{J} \end{pmatrix} a_{1,j} \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{p} (-)^{j} \sum_{\substack{I \subset [2..\widehat{j}..p] \\ J \subset [q] \\ |\bar{I}|=|\bar{J}|=2k+\epsilon}} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 2..\widehat{j}..p \\ I, \bar{I} \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1...q \\ J, \bar{J} \end{pmatrix} \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}})\, b_{1,j} \operatorname{Pf}(b_I) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Let us rewrite the first sum in the right-hand side of (3.9) as

$$\sum_{\substack{I \subset [2...p] \\ |\bar{I}|=2k-\epsilon_1}} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 2...q \\ I, \bar{I} \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{Pf}(b_I) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{\substack{J \subset [1..\widehat{j}..q] \\ |\bar{J}|=2k-\epsilon_1}} (-)^{j+1} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1..\widehat{j}..q \\ J, \bar{J} \end{pmatrix} a_{1,j} \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J) \right).$$

In the brace of the equation above, fixing an index set $J_1 \subset [q]$ of length $q - 1 - (2k - \epsilon_1) = q - 2k - \epsilon$ and denoting its complement by $\bar{J}_1$, one sees that the coefficient of $\operatorname{Pf}(c_{J_1})$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \in \bar{J}_1} (-)^{j+1} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1..\widehat{j}..q \\ \bar{J}_1 \setminus \{j\}, J_1 \end{pmatrix} a_{1,j} \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}_1 \setminus \{j\}}) \\ =& \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1...q \\ \bar{J}_1\ J_1 \end{pmatrix} \det(a^{\bar{I}_1}_{\bar{J}_1}) \end{aligned}$$

by the expansion formula of the determinant, where $\bar{I}_1 := \{1\} \sqcup \bar{I}$. Hence, one obtains that the first sum of T_k equals

$$\sum_{\substack{1\notin I_1\subset[p]\\ |\bar{I}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{J_1\subset[q]\\ |\bar{J}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(I_1,\bar{I}_1\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(J_1,\bar{J}_1\right) \det(a^{\bar{I}_1}_{\bar{J}_1}) \operatorname{Pf}\left(b_{I_1}\right) \operatorname{Pf}\left(c_{J_1}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Now let us turn to the second sum of T_k. It can be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{J\subset[q]\\ |\bar{J}|=2k+\epsilon}} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix}1...q\\ J,\bar{J}\end{pmatrix} \operatorname{Pf}\left(c_J\right) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{p} \sum_{\substack{I\subset[2..\widehat{j}..p]\\ |\bar{I}|=2k+\epsilon}} (-)^j \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix}2..\widehat{j}..p\\ I,\bar{I}\end{pmatrix} \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}) b_{1,j} \operatorname{Pf}\left(b_I\right)\right).$$

In the brace of the equation above, similarly to the case of the first sum, fixing an index set $\bar{I}_1 \subset [2...p]$ of length $p-2-2k-\epsilon$, and denoting its complement in $[p]$ by I_1, one sees that the coefficient of $\det(a^{\bar{I}_1}_{\bar{J}})$ equals

$$\operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix}1...p\\ I_1,\bar{I}_1\end{pmatrix} \operatorname{Pf}\left(b_{I_1}\right),$$

whence, one obtains that the second sum of T_k equals

$$\sum_{\substack{J\subset[q]\\ |\bar{J}|=2k+\epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{1\in I_1\subset[p]\\ |\bar{I}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(I_1,\bar{I}_1\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(J,\bar{J}\right) \det(a^{\bar{I}_1}_{\bar{J}}) \operatorname{Pf}\left(b_{I_1}\right) \operatorname{Pf}\left(c_J\right) \tag{3.11}$$

by the expansion formula of the Pfaffian.

It follows from (3.10) and (3.11) that T_k equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\sum_{\substack{1\notin I_1\subset[p]\\ |\bar{I}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{J_1\subset[q]\\ |\bar{J}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} + \sum_{\substack{J_1\subset[q]\\ |\bar{J}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{1\in I_1\subset[p]\\ |\bar{I}_1|=2k+\epsilon}}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(I_1,\bar{I}_1\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(J_1,\bar{J}_1\right) \det(a^{\bar{I}_1}_{\bar{J}_1}) \operatorname{Pf}\left(b_{I_1}\right) \operatorname{Pf}\left(c_{J_1}\right)\\ &= \sum_{\substack{I_1\subset[p]\\ |\bar{I}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{J_1\subset[q]\\ |\bar{J}_1|=2k+\epsilon}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(I_1,\bar{I}_1\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(J_1,\bar{J}_1\right) \det(a^{\bar{I}_1}_{\bar{J}_1}) \operatorname{Pf}\left(b_{I_1}\right) \operatorname{Pf}\left(c_{J_1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □ □

We separately state the case where $p = q = n$ of Theorem 3.2, of which noncommutative version, i.e., the case where the matrix entries are elements of the universal enveloping algebra $U(_{2n})$, will be considered in Section 4:

Corollary 3.4. *Let* $X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -J_n\,{}^t\!aJ_n \end{bmatrix} \in {}_{2n}$ *be as in the theorem, where* a, b, c *are all of size* $n \times n$. *Then the Pfaffian* $\operatorname{Pf}(X)$ *is expanded as follows:*

$$\operatorname{Pf}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{I,J\subset[n]\\ |I|=|J|=2k}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\bar{I},I\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(\bar{J},J\right) \det(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}) \operatorname{Pf}\left(b_I\right) \operatorname{Pf}\left(c_J\right). \tag{3.12}$$

4. Noncommutative Minor Summation Formula

Now we turn to the noncommutative case.

Let $\boldsymbol{A} = (A_{i,j})$ be an alternating matrix of size $2n \times 2n$ whose entries $A_{i,j}$ are in a noncommutative associative algebra $\mathscr{A}$. The Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{A})$ is defined by (2.1). Note that for the alternating matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$, if we define a 2-form $\Theta_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{A}$ by

$$\Theta_{\boldsymbol{A}} = \sum_{i,j\in[2n]} e_i e_j A_{i,j} \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathscr{A},$$

then the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{A})$ is given by the coefficient of $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{2n}$ in ${\Theta_{\boldsymbol{A}}}^n$ divided by $2^n n!$ ([IU01, Proposition 1.1]):

$$ {\Theta_{\boldsymbol{A}}}^n = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{2n}\, 2^n n!\, \mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{A}) . \tag{4.1}$$

Let us consider the following $2n \times 2n$-matrix whose entries are in the universal enveloping algebra $U({}_{2n})$:

$$\boldsymbol{X} = (X_{i,j}) \quad \text{with} \quad X_{i,j} := E_{i,j} - E_{-j,-i} \in \mathfrak{o}_{2n} \subset U(\mathfrak{o}_{2n}) \tag{4.2}$$

for $i, j \in [\pm n]$. The commutation relations among $X_{i,j}$'s are given by

$$[X_{i,j}, X_{k,l}] = \delta_{j,k} X_{i,l} + \delta_{i,l} X_{-j,-k} - \delta_{j,-l} X_{i,-k} - \delta_{i,-k} X_{-j,l} \tag{4.3}$$

for $i, j, k, l \in [\pm n]$.

Since $\boldsymbol{X}$ is anti-alternating by definition, one can define the Pfaffian of $\boldsymbol{X} J_{2n}$, which we also denote by $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})$ simply, as usual. Then it belongs to the center $ZU({}_{2n})$ of the universal enveloping algebra, since it is invariant under the adjoint action of SO_{2n} by Proposition 2.3.

For the matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$ in (4.2), we introduce a 2-form with coefficient in the universal enveloping algebra $U({}_{2n})$:

$$\Omega = \sum_{i,j\in[\pm n]} e_i e_{-j} X_{i,j} \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes U({}_{2n}). \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.1. *The relation between the Pfaffian* $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})$ *and* Ω *is given by*

$$\Omega^n = e_1 \cdots e_n e_{-n} \cdots e_{-1} 2^n n!\, \mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X}) . \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. If we set $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}} := \boldsymbol{X} J_{2n}$, its (i,j)th entry $\tilde{X}_{i,j}$ is given by $X_{i,-j}$ for $i, j \in [2n]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^n &= \sum_{i_1,j_1,\ldots,i_n j_n \in [\pm n]} e_{i_1} e_{j_1} \cdots e_{i_n} e_{j_n} X_{i_1,-j_1} \cdots X_{i_n,-j_n} \\
&= \sum_{i_1,j_1,\ldots,i_n j_n \in [2n]} e_{i_1} e_{j_1} \cdots e_{i_n} e_{j_n} \tilde{X}_{i_1,j_1} \cdots \tilde{X}_{i_n,j_n} \\
&= e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{2n-1} e_{2n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{X}_{\sigma(1),\sigma(2)} \cdots \tilde{X}_{\sigma(2n-1),\sigma(2n)} \\
&= e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n e_{n+1} \cdots e_{2n-1} e_{2n}\, 2^n n! \operatorname{Pf}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}\right) \\
&= e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n e_{-n} \cdots e_{-2} e_{-1}\, 2^n n! \operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the convention that $-j = 2n + 1 - j$ for $j \in [2n]$. □ □

Similarly to the commutative case with $p = q = n$ in (3.1), we color the matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -J_n\, {}^t a J_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where a, b, c are matrices of size $n \times n$ given by

$$a = \sum_{i,j \in [n]} E_{i,j} \otimes a_{i,j}, \quad b = \sum_{i,j \in [n]} E_{i,-j} \otimes b_{i,j}, \quad c = \sum_{i,j \in [n]} E_{-j,i} \otimes c_{i,j} \tag{4.6}$$

with

$$a_{i,j} = X_{i,j}, \quad b_{i,j} = X_{i,-j}, \quad c_{i,j} = X_{-j,i}. \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.3) and (4.7), the commutation relations among $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}, c_{i,j}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
{}[a_{i,j}, a_{k,l}] &= \delta_{j,k} a_{i,l} - \delta_{l,j} a_{k,j}, \\
[a_{i,j}, b_{k,l}] &= \delta_{j,k} b_{i,l} - \delta_{j,l} b_{i,k}, \\
[a_{i,j}, c_{k,l}] &= \delta_{i,k} c_{l,j} - \delta_{i,l} c_{k,j}, \\
[b_{i,j}, c_{k,l}] &= \delta_{j,l} a_{i,k} + \delta_{i,k} a_{j,l} - \delta_{i,l} a_{j,k} - \delta_{j,k} a_{i,l}, \\
[b_{i,j}, b_{k,l}] &= [c_{i,j}, c_{k,l}] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for $i, j, k, l \in [n]$.

Remark 4.2. Set $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{C} a_{i,i}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of ${}_{2n}$, and each $b_{i,j}$ is a positive root vector with root $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j$, where $\epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the linear functional on $\mathfrak{h}$ that takes $\operatorname{diag}(h_1, \ldots, h_n, -h_n, \ldots, -h_1)$ to h_i. The other positive root vectors are $a_{i,j}$ with root $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ for $i < j$. In fact, setting $\mathfrak{l} := \bigoplus_{i,j \in [n]} \mathbb{C} a_{i,j}$, $\mathfrak{u} := \bigoplus_{i,j \in [n], i<j} \mathbb{C} b_{i,j}$, and $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$, then $\mathfrak{q}$ is the maximal parabolic subalgebra corresponding to the right-end root in Dynkin diagram of type D_n, with $\mathfrak{l}$ the Levi factor which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, and $\mathfrak{u}$ the nilradical which is abelian. Note that $\mathfrak{u}^- := \bigoplus_{i,j \in [n], i<j} \mathbb{C} c_{i,j}$ is also abelian (see [GW98, Kna02].)

Corresponding to the coloring of the matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$, we set

$$\Xi = \sum_{i,j\in[n]} e_i e_{-j}\, a_{i,j}, \quad \Theta = \sum_{i,j\in[n]} e_i e_j\, b_{i,j}, \quad \Theta' = \sum_{i,j\in[n]} e_{-j} e_{-i}\, c_{i,j}.$$

Then obviously,

$$\Omega = \Theta' + 2\Xi + \Theta.$$

Lemma 4.3. *The following commutation relations hold:*

$$[\Theta, \Theta'] = 4\tau\Xi, \quad [\Theta, \Xi] = 2\tau\Theta, \quad [\Theta', \Xi] = -2\tau\Theta',$$

where $\tau = \sum_{i\in[n]} e_i e_{-i}$.

Proof. It is straightforward to show that these relations follow from (4.8). For example, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}[\Xi, \Theta] &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} e_i e_{-j} e_k e_l [a_{i,j}, b_{k,l}] \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} e_i e_{-j} e_k e_l (\delta_{j,k} b_{i,l} - \delta_{j,l} b_{i,k}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l} e_i e_{-j} e_j e_l b_{i,l} - \sum_{i,j,k} e_i e_{-j} e_k e_j b_{i,k} \\ &= -\sum_{i,j,l} e_{-j} e_j e_i e_l b_{i,l} - \sum_{i,j,k} e_{-j} e_j e_i e_k b_{i,k} \\ &= -2\tau\Theta.\end{aligned}$$

The other relations follow similarly. ☐ ☐

For a parameter $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, set

$$\Xi(u) := \Xi + u\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi^{(r)}(u) := \Xi(u)\Xi(u-1)\cdots\Xi(u-r+1). \tag{4.9}$$

The following propositions are due to [IU01, Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 2.6].

Proposition 4.4. *For* $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$, *we have*

$$\Omega^m = \sum_{\substack{p,q,r\geqslant 0\\ p+q+r=m}} \frac{m!}{p!q!r!} 2^r\, \Xi^{(r)}(q-p+r-1)\Theta'^p\Theta^q.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, our 2-forms Ξ, Θ, Θ' satisfy the same commutation relations as those given in [IU01, Lemma 4.1]. Therefore, exactly the same argument therein implies the proposition (see [IU01, Lemma 4.5] for details.) ☐ ☐

For $j \in [n]$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}$, set

$$\eta_j(u) = \sum_{i\in[n]} e_i a_{i,j}(u) \tag{4.10}$$

with $a_{i,j}(u) = a_{i,j} + u\delta_{i,j}$. Then they are anti-commutative *when the parameter shift taken into account*, i.e., they satisfy

$$\eta_i(u+1)\eta_j(u) + \eta_j(u+1)\eta_i(u) = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

for $i, j \in [n]$ (cf. [IU01, Lemma 2.1]). Obviously, we have

$$\varXi(u) = \sum_{j \in [n]} \eta_j(u) e_{-j}. \tag{4.12}$$

Given $I, J \subset [n]$, define submatrices of a, b, c by

$$a^I_J := (a_{i,j})_{i \in I, j \in J}, \quad b_I := (b_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}, \quad c_J := (c_{i,j})_{i,j \in J}$$

as in the commutative case. Furthermore, for economy, we will use notations

$$e_I := e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_r} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{-J} := e_{-j_s} e_{-j_{s-1}} \cdots e_{-j_1}$$

if $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r\}$ and $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots j_s\}$ in what follows.

Proposition 4.5. *For $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\varXi^{(r)}(u + r - 1) = r! \sum_{\substack{I, J \subset [n] \\ |I| = |J| = r}} e_I e_{-J} \det\left(a^I_J + \mathbb{1}^I_J \operatorname{diag}(u + r - 1, u + r - 2, \ldots, u)\right),$$

where det *denotes the column determinant and $\mathbb{1}$ the identity matrix of size $n \times n$.*

Proof. First, note that the column determinant in the sum is explicitly given by

$$= \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{i_1,j_1}(u+r-1) & a_{i_1,j_2}(u+r-2) & \cdots & a_{i_1,j_r}(u) \\ a_{i_2,j_1}(u+r-1) & a_{i_2,j_2}(u+r-2) & \cdots & a_{i_2,j_r}(u) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{i_r,j_1}(u+r-1) & a_{i_r,j_2}(u+r-2) & \cdots & a_{i_r,j_r}(u) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{i_{\sigma(1)},j_1}(u+r-1) a_{i_{\sigma(2)},j_2}(u+r-2) \cdots a_{i_{\sigma(r)},j_r}(u) \tag{4.13}$$

if $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r\}$ and $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r\}$.

It follows from (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varXi^{(r)}(u+r-1) \\
&= (-)^{r(r-1)/2} \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r} \eta_{\alpha_1}(u+r-1) \eta_{\alpha_2}(u+r-2) \cdots \eta_{\alpha_r}(u) e_{-\alpha_1} e_{-\alpha_2} \cdots e_{-\alpha_r} \\
&= (-)^{r(r-1)/2} \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \eta_{j_{\sigma(1)}}(u+r-1) \eta_{j_{\sigma(2)}}(u+r-2) \cdots \eta_{j_{\sigma(r)}}(u) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times e_{-j_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{-j_{\sigma(r)}} \\
&= r! \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r} \eta_{j_1}(u+r-1) \eta_{j_2}(u+r-2) \cdots \eta_{j_r}(u) e_{-j_r} \cdots e_{-j_1}, \\
&= r! \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r} e_{\beta_1} e_{\beta_2} \cdots e_{\beta_r} e_{-j_r} \cdots e_{-j_1} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times a_{\beta_1,j_1}(u+r-1) a_{\beta_2,j_2}(u+r-2) \cdots a_{\beta_r,j_r}(u) \\
&= r! \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r} \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r} e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_r} e_{-j_r} \cdots e_{-j_1} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, a_{i_{\sigma(1)},j_1}(u+r-1) a_{i_{\sigma(2)},j_2}(u+r-2) \cdots a_{i_{\sigma(r)},j_r}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □ □

Lemma 4.6. *For* $p, q = 0, 1, \dots,$ *we have*

$$\varTheta^p = 2^p p! \sum_{I \subset [n], |I| = 2p} e_I \operatorname{Pf}(b_I),$$
$$\varTheta'^q = 2^q q! \sum_{J \subset [n], |J| = 2q} e_{-J} \operatorname{Pf}(c_J).$$

Proof. It is a direct calculation to show these formulae, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varTheta^p &= \sum_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_p} e_{\alpha_1} e_{\beta_1} e_{\alpha_2} e_{\beta_2} \cdots e_{\alpha_p} e_{\beta_p} b_{\alpha_1, \beta_1} b_{\alpha_2, \beta_2} \cdots b_{\alpha_p, \beta_p} \\
&= \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{2p}} e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_{2p}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, b_{i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots b_{i_{\sigma(2p-1)}, i_{\sigma(2p)}} \\
&= 2^p p! \sum_{I \subset [n], |I| = 2p} e_I \operatorname{Pf}(b_I).
\end{aligned}$$

The other formula can be shown in a similar way. □ □

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -J_n\,{}^t\!a J_n \end{bmatrix}$ *be the anti-alternating matrix defined by* (4.2) *whose entries are elements of* $U(_{2n})$, *where* a, b, c *are given by* (4.6) *and* (4.7). *Then we have*

$$\operatorname{Pf}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{I, J \subset [n] \\ |I| = |J| = 2k}} \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{I}, I) \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{J}, J) \det\left(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}} + \mathbb{1}^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}\, \boldsymbol{\rho}(|\bar{J}|)\right) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I), \tag{4.14}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\rho}(j)$ *denotes the diagonal matrix* $\operatorname{diag}(j-1, j-2, \dots, 1, 0)$.

Proof. By Propositions 4.4, 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varOmega^n = 2^n n! \sum_{\substack{p, q, r \geqslant 0 \\ p + q + r = n}} \sum_{\substack{I_1, J_1 \subset [n] \\ |I_1| = |J_1| = r}} \sum_{\substack{I, J \subset [n] \\ |I| = 2p, |J| = 2q}} & e_{I_1} e_I e_{-J_1} e_{-J} \\
& \times \det\left(a^{I_1}_{J_1} + \mathbb{1}^{I_1}_{J_1} \operatorname{diag}(u + r - 1, u + r - 2, \dots, u)\right) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I)
\end{aligned}$$

with $u = q - p$. Since $\varOmega^n$ is of top degree, the terms corresponding to I_1, I, J_1, J in the sum vanish unless $I_1 \sqcup I = J_1 \sqcup J = [n]$, in particular, unless $p = q$. Thus

$$\varOmega^n = 2^n n! \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{I, J \subset [n] \\ |I| = |J| = 2k}} e_{\bar{I}} e_I e_{-\bar{J}} e_{-J} \det\left(a^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}} + \mathbb{1}^{\bar{I}}_{\bar{J}}\, \boldsymbol{\rho}(n - 2k)\right) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I).$$

Now the theorem follows if one compares this with the right-hand side of (4.5). □ □

Using the theorem one can easily compute the eigenvalues of the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})$ on the irreducible representaions of ${}_{2n}$.

Corollary 4.8. *The eigenvalue of the central element* $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X}) \in ZU({}_{2n})$ *on the irreducible representaion with highest weight* $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \epsilon_i$ *is given by* $\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\lambda_i + n - i)$.

Proof. Applying $\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})$ to the highest weight vector, say v_λ, one sees that the only term that survives in the sum of (4.14) is the one corresponding to $I = J = \varnothing$ since each $b_{i,j}$ is a positive root vector by Remark 4.2, and thus by (4.13), one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Pf}\,(\boldsymbol{X})\, v_\lambda &= \det(a + \boldsymbol{\rho}(n)) v_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathrm{sgn}\,(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1),1}(n-1) a_{\sigma(2),2}(n-2) \cdots a_{\sigma(n),n}(0) v_\lambda \\
&= (\lambda_1 + n - 1)(\lambda_2 + n - 2) \cdots \lambda_n\, v_\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

since $a_{i,j}$ is also a positive root vector if $i < j$. $\square$ $\square$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Professor Tôru Umeda for drawing my attention to [IU01].

Appendix A. Proof of Commutative Minor Summation Formula via Exterior Algebra

In this Appendix, we give another proof of the commutative minor summation formula (3.3) in Theorem 3.2, using the exterior calculus.

Let $X = (x_{i,j})_{i,j} \in {}_{2n}$ be an anti-alternating matrix with commutative entries:

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|ccc}
x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,q} & x_{1,-p} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \vdots \\
x_{p,1} & x_{p,2} & \cdots & x_{p,q} & 0 & \cdots & x_{p,-1} \\
\hline
x_{-q,1} & x_{-q,2} & \cdots & 0 & x_{-q,-p} & \cdots & x_{-q,-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{-2,1} & 0 & \cdots & x_{-2,q} & x_{-2,-p} & \cdots & x_{-2,-1} \\
0 & x_{-1,2} & \cdots & x_{-1,q} & x_{-1,-p} & \cdots & x_{-1,-1}
\end{array}\right],$$

where $x_{-j,-i} = -x_{i,j}$ for all i, j. Define 2-forms Ω by

$$\Omega := \sum_{\substack{i \in [p] \cup [-q] \\ j \in [q] \cup [-p]}} e_i e_{-j} x_{i,j}$$

for X. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 one can show that

$$\Omega^n = e_1 \cdots e_p e_{-q} \cdots e_{-1} 2^n n!\, \mathrm{Pf}\,(X)\,.$$

Note that by our convention $-q$ stands for $2n+1-q=p+1$ and so on. Coloring and parameterize X as in (3.1) and (3.2), define 2-forms $\varXi, \varTheta, \varTheta'$ by

$$\varXi = \sum_{i\in[p],j\in[q]} e_i e_{-j}\, a_{i,j}, \quad \varTheta = \sum_{i,j\in[p]} e_i e_j\, b_{i,j}, \quad \varTheta' = \sum_{i,j\in[q]} e_{-j} e_{-i}\, c_{i,j}.$$

It is clear that

$$\varOmega = \varTheta' + 2\varXi + \varTheta.$$

Furthermore, the trinomial expansion formula in Proposition 4.4 holds without parameter-shift:

$$\varOmega^m = \sum_{\substack{h,s,t\geqslant 0\\ h+s+t=m}} \frac{m!}{h!s!t!} 2^h\, \varXi^h \varTheta^s \varTheta'^t \tag{A.1}$$

for $m=0,1,\dots$. The same calculation as in Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varXi^h &= h! \sum_{\substack{I\subset[p],J\subset[q]\\ |I|=|J|=h}} e_I e_{-J} \det(a^I_J), \\ \varTheta^s &= 2^s s! \sum_{I\subset[p],|I|=2s} e_I \operatorname{Pf}(b_I), \\ \varTheta'^t &= 2^t t! \sum_{J\subset[q],|J|=2t} e_{-J} \operatorname{Pf}(c_J) \end{aligned}$$

for $h,s,t=0,1,\dots$. Substituting these into (A.1) with $m=n$, we see that

$$\varOmega^n = 2^n n! \sum_{\substack{h,s,t\geqslant 0\\ h+s+t=n}} \sum_{\substack{I_1,I\subset[p],J_1,J\subset[q]\\ |I_1|=|J_1|=h\\ |I|=2s,|J|=2t}} e_{I_1} e_I e_{-J_1} e_{-J} \det(a^{I_1}_{J_1}) \operatorname{Pf}(b_I) \operatorname{Pf}(c_J). \tag{A.2}$$

Since $\varOmega^n$ is of top degree, the terms that survive in the sum (A.2) are those corresponding to I_1, I, J_1, J satisfying $I_1 \sqcup I = [p]$ and $J_1 \sqcup J = [q]$. In particular, $h+2s=p$ and $h+2t=q$, where $|I_1|=|J_1|=h, |I|=2s, |J|=2t$. Now setting $h=2k+\epsilon$ with ϵ the parity of p (=the parity of q), we obtain the formula.

REFERENCES

[GW98] R. Goodman and N. W. Wallach, *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*, Encyclopedia of Math. its Appl., vol. 68, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[Hir92] R. Hirota, *Mathematical aspects of solitons via direct method (Chokusetu-hô ni yoru soliton no sûri)*, Iwanami, Tokyo, 1992, (in Japanese).

[HU91] R. Howe and T. Umeda, *The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 565–619.

[Ito05] M. Itoh, *Central elements of permanent type in the universal enveloping algebras of the symplectic Lie algebra*, RIMS Kôkyûroku **1410** (2005), 139–153, (in Japanese).

[IU01] M. Itoh and T. Umeda, *On central elements in the universal enveloping algebras of the orthogonal Lie algebra*, Compositio Math. **127** (2001), 333–359.

[IW06] M. Ishikawa and M. Wakayama, *Application of minor summation formula III, Plücker relations, lattice paths and Pfaffian identities*, J. Comb. Theory A **113** (2006), 113–155.

[Kna02] A. W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction, 2nd edition*, PM, vol. 140, Birkhäuser, 2002.

[MN99] A. Molev and M. Nazarov, *Capelli identities for classical Lie algebras*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), 315–357.

Department of Information and Knowledge Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Tottori University, 4-101, Koyama-Minami, Tottori, 680-8552, Japan
E-mail address: `thashi@ike.tottori-u.ac.jp`